	Eahithap ag	PLEA		
1	UNITED STATES DISTRICT			
2	SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF NE			
3	UNITED STATES OF AMERIC	CA,		
4	V.		13 Cr. 616 VB	
5	JOHANNES THALER,			
6	Defendar	nt.		
7		x		
8			October 17, 2014 10:45 a.m. White Plains, N.Y.	
10	Before:			
11	HON. VINCENT L. BRICCETTI,			
12			District Judge	
13	APPEARANCES			
14 15	PREET BHARARA United States Attorney for the			
T)				
16	Southern District EMILY RAE WOODS TODD BLANCHE	of New York		
16 17	Southern District EMILY RAE WOODS TODD BLANCHE Assistant United S	of New York		
	Southern District EMILY RAE WOODS TODD BLANCHE Assistant United S FEDERAL DEFENDERS UNIT Attorney for Defer	of New York States Attorney		
17	Southern District EMILY RAE WOODS TODD BLANCHE Assistant United S FEDERAL DEFENDERS UNIT	of New York States Attorney		
17 18	Southern District EMILY RAE WOODS TODD BLANCHE Assistant United S FEDERAL DEFENDERS UNIT Attorney for Defer	of New York States Attorney		
17 18 19	Southern District EMILY RAE WOODS TODD BLANCHE Assistant United S FEDERAL DEFENDERS UNIT Attorney for Defer JASON SER	of New York States Attorney		
17 18 19 20	Southern District EMILY RAE WOODS TODD BLANCHE Assistant United S FEDERAL DEFENDERS UNIT Attorney for Defer JASON SER	of New York States Attorney		
17 18 19 20 21	Southern District EMILY RAE WOODS TODD BLANCHE Assistant United S FEDERAL DEFENDERS UNIT Attorney for Defer JASON SER	of New York States Attorney		
17 18 19 20 21 22	Southern District EMILY RAE WOODS TODD BLANCHE Assistant United S FEDERAL DEFENDERS UNIT Attorney for Defer JASON SER	of New York States Attorney		

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: United States v. Thaler. Will counsel please note their appearances.

MS WOODS: Rae Woods from the government. With me is Todd Blanche and Special Agent Kerwin John.

MR. SER: Jason Ser for Mr. Thaler.

THE COURT: Good morning, everybody, have a seat.

Mr. Ser, does your client have an application?

The defendant, Mr. Thaler, requests that the MR. SER: Court take a quilty plea from him on Counts 2 and 4 of the indictment in case, 13 Cr. 616.

THE COURT: That would be in fall satisfaction of the indictment?

MR. SER: Correct, your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Thaler, I've been advised that you wish to plead guilty to Counts 2 and 4 of indictment 13 Cr. 616. Is that correct?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Before I accept your quilty plea I'm going to ask you a number of questions and it's important that you answer these questions honestly and completely. The purpose of my questions is to make sure you understand your rights and that you're pleading quilty of your own free will. I also want to make sure that you're pleading quilty because you are quilty and not for some other reason and that you understand the consequences of your plea. Therefore, it's very important that

PLEA

- you understand every question before you answer it. If there's
 any question that you do not understand, don't answer it, just
 tell me that you don't understand it, I'll either try to
 rephrase it or I'll give you whatever opportunity you need to
 speak to your attorney about that. Will you do that?
 - THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.
 - (Defendant placed under oath)
 - BY THE COURT:

6

7

8

- 9 Q. Mr. Thaler, you're now under oath. What that means is if
 10 you answer any of my questions falsely your answers could later
 11 be used against you in a prosecution for perjury or for making
- 12 a false statement. Do you understand that?
- 13 A. Yes, your Honor.
- 14 | Q. What is your full name?
- 15 A. Johannes Walter Thaler.
- 16 | Q. How old are you?
- 17 | A. 51.
- 18 Q. How far did you go in school?
- 19 A. Three years of college.
- 20 | O. Where was that?
- 21 A. Some Roanoke, Virginia and some in Westchester Community
- 22 | College.
- 23 Q. Are you now or have you recently been under the care of a
- 24 doctor or psychiatrist?
- 25 A. No.

- 1 Q. Have you ever been treated or hospitalized for any mental
- 2 | illness?
- 3 | A. Yes.
- 4 | Q. Can you just tell me what that treatment or hospitalization
- 5 was for and what was the outcome?
- 6 A. I had a bout of depression seven years ago I think it was.
- 7 | Q. Approximately when was that?
- 8 A. I think 2007.
- 9 | Q. Approximately seven years ago?
- 10 A. Seven years ago.
- 11 | Q. Were you hospitalized for that?
- 12 A. Yes, for three days.
- 13 | Q. Have you received follow-up treatment for that? It's a
- 14 | very --
- 15 A. I have not.
- 16 | Q. You're not currently in treatment for that?
- 17 A. No, not currently.
- 18 Q. Just wait for me to finish my question before you answer.
- 19 | I know you're trying to be helpful. For the Court reporter's
- 20 benefit let me finish and then you can answer the question.
- 21 Have you ever been treated or hospitalized for any
- 22 | addiction to drugs or alcohol?
- 23 | A. No, sir.
- 24 | Q. In the last 24 hours have you taken any drugs or any
- 25 medicine or pills or consumed any alcohol?

Eahithap ag PLEA

- 1 | A. No, sir.
- 2 | Q. No medicine, no pills, no drugs, no alcohol?
- 3 | A. No.
- 4 | Q. Is your mind clear today?
- $5 \parallel A. \text{ Yes, sir.}$
- 6 Q. Do you understand what's happening here today?
- $7 \parallel A. \text{ Yes, sir.}$
- 8 | Q. Have you had enough time and opportunity to discuss your
- 9 case with your attorney?
- 10 A. Yes, I have.
- 11 | Q. Did you discuss with him the charges against you including
- 12 any possible defenses you might have?
- 13 A. Yes, sir.
- 14 | Q. Did you discuss with him the consequences of entering a
- 15 plea of guilty?
- 16 | A. Yes, sir.
- 17 | Q. Are you satisfied with your attorney's representation of
- 18 you?
- 19 A. Yes, I am, your Honor.
- 20 | THE COURT: Does either counsel have any doubt as to
- 21 | the defendant's competence to plead guilty at this time?
- 22 | Ms Woods?
- MS WOODS: No, your Honor.
- 24 THE COURT: Mr. Ser?
- MR. SER: No, your Honor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

THE COURT: Based on the defendant's responses to my questions and my observations of his demeanor I find that he is fully competent to enter an informed quilty plea at this time.

Q. Mr. Thaler, I'm now going to explain certain constitutional rights that you have, and these are rights that you will be giving up if you enter a quilty plea. So once again, please stop me if there's anything you do not understand and either I or your attorney will explain the matter more fully.

First, under the Constitution and laws of the United States, you have a right to plead not quilty to the charges contained in the indictment. Do you understand that?

- Α. Yes.
- If you did plead not quilty or persist in your previously Ο. entered not guilty plea you would be entitled a speedy and public trial by a jury on the charges contained in the indictment. Do you understand that?
- Α. Yes.
- Q. At that trial you would be presumed to be innocent and the government would be required to prove you guilty by competent evidence beyond a reasonable doubt before you could be found guilty. You would not have to prove that you were innocent. Do you understand that?
- Α. Yes, I do.
 - If there were a jury trial you could not be convicted unless a jury of twelve people unanimously agreed that you were

- 1 guilty beyond a reasonable doubt. Do you understand that?
- 2 | A. Yes, I do.
- 3 Q. At that trial and at every other stage of the case you
- 4 would have the right to be represented by an attorney. If you
- 5 could not afford an attorney, the Court would appoint one to
- 6 | represent you at no cost to you. Do you understand that?
- 7 A. Yes.
- 8 Q. I understand that Mr. Ser has been appointed to represent
- 9 you in this case, is that correct?
- 10 | A. Yes.
- 11 | Q. During a trial, the witnesses for the government would have
- 12 | to come to court and testify in your presence where you could
- 13 see them and hear them. Your lawyer could cross-examine those
- 14 | witnesses and object to evidence offered by the government.
- 15 | Also, your lawyer could offer evidence on your behalf and you
- 16 would be able to use subpoenas to compel witnesses to come to
- 17 court and testify in your defense even if they did not want to
- 18 come. Do you understand all of that?
- 19 | A. Yes, sir.
- 20 | Q. At a trial you would have the right to testify if you chose
- 21 | to do so. You would also have the right not to testify. If
- 22 | you chose not to testify, that could not be used against you in
- 23 any way. No inference or suggestion of guilty could be drawn
- 24 | from the fact that you did not testify. Do you understand
- 25 | that?

- 1 | A. Yes, sir.
- 2 | Q. If you were convicted at a trial you would have the right
- 3 | to appeal that verdict to a higher court. Do you understand
- 4 | that?
- 5 A. Yes, your Honor.
- 6 | Q. Even now you have the right to change your mind; in other
- 7 | words, you don't have to plead guilty. You can persist in your
- 8 | plea of not guilty and go to trial. Do you understand that?
- 9 | A. Yes, sir.
- 10 | Q. But, if you do plead quilty and I accept your plea you will
- 11 | be giving up your right to a trial and all of the other trial
- 12 | rights that go with it that I've just described. If you plead
- 13 | guilty there will be no trial and I will enter a judgment of
- 14 | quilty and sentence you on the basis of your quilty plea after
- 15 considering a presentence report prepared by the Probation
- 16 Department and after considering submissions I get from you,
- 17 | your attorney and the government. Do you understand that?
- 18 A. Yes, your Honor.
- 19 | Q. Finally, if you plead guilty you will also be giving up
- 20 your right not to incriminate yourself and I'll ask you
- 21 | questions about what you did in order to satisfy myself that
- 22 | you are in fact quilty as charged. Do you understand that?
- 23 A. Yes, I do.
- Q. Have you received a copy of the indictment in this case?
- 25 A. Yes, I have, your Honor.

PLEA

- Q. Have you read it?
- 2 A. Yes, I have.
- 3 | Q. Have you discussed it with your attorney?
- 4 | A. Yes.

1

- 5 | Q. Do you understand that in Counts 2 and 4 you are charged as
- 6 | follows, and I'm just going to quickly summarize it, I'm not
- 7 going to reread the indictment since you've already read it.
- 8 | In Count 2, in summary, you're charged with aiding and abetting
- 9 | the bribery of a public official; specifically, that you aided
- 10 and abetted your co-defendant, Robert Lustyik, who was at the
- 11 | time a special agents with the Federal Bureau of Investigation,
- 12 | in soliciting cash payments in return for disclosing
- 13 confidential governmental information to which Mr. Lustyik had
- 14 access by virtue of his position as a special agent with the
- 15 | FBI. Do you understand that that's the gist of what you're
- 16 | charged with in Count 2?
- 17 | A. Yes, sir.
- 18 | Q. In Count 4 you're charged with conspiracy to defraud the
- 19 citizens of the United States and the Federal Bureau of
- 20 | Investigation, often referred to as honest services fraud. In
- 21 | this case the allegation is that you conspired with Mr. Lustyik
- 22 | and Rizve Ahmed, your co-defendants, to committing offenses
- 23 | against the United States, specifically wire fraud. And we'll
- 24 | talk about that a little bit more later. Do you understand in
- 25 Count 4 you're charged with a conspiracy to commit wire fraud

PLEA

and honest services fraud in violation of Section 1349 of Title 18?

A. Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Ms Woods, could you spell out for me the essential elements of the offense charged in Count 2, which is the aiding and abetting bribery of a public official charge.

MS WOODS: The essential elements include: First, that the offense involved a public official; second, that the public official solicited, accepted and agreed to accept a thing of value; third, that the public official did so corruptly with the intent to be influenced in the performance of an official act; and finally, that the defendant aided and abetted that offense.

THE COURT: Could you now spell out for me the elements of Count 4.

MS WOODS: The elements for Count 4 include the following: First, that the defendant agreed with at least two other people; second, that the defendant willfully joined in that agreement, third, that one of the conspirators committed an overt act during the time period of the conspiracy in an effort to further the conspiracy; and fourth, that the agreement involved an agreement to commit an offense against the United States, specifically honest services wire fraud.

THE COURT: Thank you.

Q. Mr. Thaler, do you understand that if you did not plead

PLEA

guilty to Count 2 and Count 4 the government would have to prove each and every element of those charges beyond a reasonable doubt at trial?

- A. Yes, your Honor.
- Q. Do you understand that the maximum possible penalties for these offenses are as follows? First, I'll talk about Count 2. The maximum term of imprisonment is 15 years; there is a possible maximum term of supervised release of three years; a maximum fine of the greatest of \$250,000 or twice the gross pecuniary gain derived from the offense or twice the gross pecuniary loss to persons other than you resulting from the offense; and there's also a one hundred dollar mandatory special assessment. Those are all the possible maximum penalties on Count 2 and in the case of the mandatory special assessment that's a mandatory penalty.

Now, on Count 4, the maximum possible penalties are as follows: The maximum term of imprisonment is 20 years; a maximum term of supervised release of three years; a maximum fine of the greatest of \$250,000 or twice the gross pecuniary gain derived from the offense or twice the gross pecuniary loss to persons other than you resulting from the offense; and there's also a one hundred dollar mandatory special assessment.

Do you understand that those are the maximum possible penalties for those two offenses?

A. Yes, your Honor.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

17

- Let me just tell you something about supervised release which I mentioned. Supervised release means that if I sentence you to prison, you will be subject to monitoring after you are released from prison and there are certain rules and conditions of supervised release that you have to follow. If you violated those rules, you could be returned to prison without a jury trial to serve additional time even beyond your original sentence, and if that were to happen, you would be given no credit for time served in prison on your original sentence and no credit for any time spent on supervised release. Do you understand that? Α. Yes, sir.
- 12
 - Do you also understand that parole has been abolished in 0. the federal system and that if you are sentenced to prison you will not be released early on parole? Do you understand that?
- 16 Yes, sir. Α.
 - Are you a United States citizen?
- 18 Α. Yes, sir.
- As part of your sentence, I can also order restitution to 19 20 any person injured as a direct result of your criminal conduct, 21 do you understand that?
- 22 Α. Yes, sir.
- 23 THE COURT: Ms Woods, is there any basis for 24 restitution in this case?
- 25 No, your Honor. MS WOODS:

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

22

p ag PLEA

1 | THE COURT: As far as you know.

MS WOODS: No.

- Q. It's important you know that in theory I could impose an order of restitution but only if there is evidence that someone was harmed directly as a result of your criminal conduct and suffered some sort of loss. The government is telling me that she's not aware of any basis for a restitution order in this case. You do understand that in theory I could impose restitution, do you understand that?
- A. Yes.
 - Q. There are no mandatory minimums for either of these offenses except for the one hundred dollar special assessment. So if I accept your guilty plea and adjudicate you guilty I have to impose the one hundred dollar special assessment on both offenses, but other than that there are no mandatory minimums. Do you understand that?
 - A. Yes, your Honor.
- Q. Do you also understand that because you're pleading guilty to two different counts in the indictment, I will be imposing a separate sentence on each of those two counts? Do you understand that?
 - A. Yes.
- Q. In other words, if I accept your plea on both Counts 2 and
 4, ultimately I'm going to impose a sentence on Count 2 and a
 separate sentence on Count 4, do you understand that?

Α. Yes, sir.

1

- 2 Do you further understand that I may, although I'm not
- required to, but I may order you to serve the sentences on 3
- 4 those two counts either concurrently, meaning at the same time,
- 5 or consecutively, meaning that you would serve the sentences
- 6 one after the other. Do you understand that I may do that?
- 7 Yes, sir. Α.
- 8 So do you further understand that if I impose consecutive
- sentences your total sentence could be a maximum total of 35 9
- 10 years imprisonment?
- 11 Α. Yes.
- 12 Because on Count 2, the maximum sentence is 15 years, and
- 13 on Count 4, it's 20. So if you add them together it's 35
- 14 years, do you understand that?
- Yes. 15 Α.
- Do you further understand that if I accept your quilty plea 16
- 17 and adjudge you guilty that adjudication may deprive you of
- 18 valuable civil rights such as the right to vote, the right to
- 19 hold public office, the right to serve on a jury, the right to
- 20 possess any kind of firearm, and the right to hold certain
- 21 professional licenses?
- 22 Α. Yes, sir.
- 23 Now, have you talked to your attorney about how the Federal
- 24 Sentencing Guidelines apply to your case?
- 25 Yes, I have, your Honor. Α.

PLEA

- In imposing sentence I'm required to consider the 1 2 Sentencing Guidelines which are a set of rules and recommendations for determining an appropriate sentence. 3 4 have to calculate the applicable sentencing guideline range, 5 consider that range, and I also have to determine whether there 6 should be any upward or downward departure from the range. 7 Now, while I must pay attention to the guidelines in determining your sentence, in the end I'm required to impose a 8 9 sentence that I believe best satisfies the purposes of the 10 criminal law based on the sentencing factors set forth in 11 Section 3553(a) of Title 18 of the United States Code, even if 12 that sentence is higher or lower than what the guidelines 13 recommend. Do you understand that? 14 Yes, sir. Α. 15 I won't be able to determine how the quidelines apply to your case until after a presentence report has been prepared by 16 the Probation Office and you and the government have had a 17 18 chance to comment on or challenge anything in the report. Do 19 you understand that?
- 20 | A. Yes, sir.

21

22

23

25

- Q. Do you understand that if your attorney or anyone else has attempted to predict what your sentence will be that prediction could be wrong?
- 24 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. I'm telling you this because you need to understand that no

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

- one can be sure now what your sentence will be. It's my job to decide what your sentence will be and I'm not going to do that now. I'm going to wait until after the presentence report is completed and I've ruled on any challenges to the report, calculated the sentencing range, determined whether there are grounds to depart from the range and considered the factors set forth in Section 3553(a). So what all of that means is that nobody, not even I, can predict what the sentence will be in your case. Do you understand that?
- 10 A. Yes, your Honor.
 - Q. Do you also understand that even if you're sentence is different from what your attorney or anyone else told you it might be, or if it's different in what you expected it to be, or what may be contained in the plea agreement, once you've pleaded guilty you will not be allowed to withdraw your plea?

 Do you understand all that?
- 17 | A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Has anyone threatened you or coerced you in any way or tried to force you to plead guilty?
- 20 | A. No, sir.
- Q. Has anyone other than the prosecution promised you anything or offered you anything in order to get you to plead guilty?
- 23 | A. No, sir.
- Q. I've been given a letter dated October 15, 2014 from the prosecutor to your attorney which is a plea agreement between

- you and the government. I'm going to have it marked as Court's Exhibit 1. And I'm going to ask my courtroom deputy to verify that your signature appears on page 6 of Court's Exhibit 1.
- THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Mr. Thaler, is that your original signature signed today, October 17, 2014?

 THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am.
- 7 Q. Mr. Thaler, did you read this agreement prior to signing 8 it?
- 9 A. Yes, your Honor.
- 10 | Q. Did you discuss it with your attorney before you signed it?
- 11 | A. Yes, sir.
- 12 Q. Did you discuss every aspect of it with your attorney?
- 13 | A. Yes, sir.
- 14 Q. And at the time you signed the agreement, did you
- 15 understand the agreement?
- 16 | A. Yes, sir.
- Q. Is this plea agreement the entire agreement between you and the government?
- 19 A. Yes, sir.
- 20 | Q. Is there any agreement, promise or understanding between
- 21 you and the government that is not set forth in this agreement?
- 22 | A. No, sir.
- Q. Has anyone coerced you or forced you or threatened you to
- 24 enter into the plea agreement?
- 25 A. No, sir.

1

2

3

4

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

20

21

22

24

25

PLEA

- Q. Other than what's set forth in the plea agreement, has anyone promised you anything or offered you any inducement to plead guilty or to enter into the plea agreement?
- A. No, sir.
- Q. Has anyone made a promise to you as to what your sentence will actually be?
 - A. No, sir.
 - Q. It appears that you and the government have stipulated to, which simply means agreed to, the appropriate calculation of your sentencing range under the guidelines, although I notice there is an agreement to disagree included in here, but there is an agreement that the guideline range is somewhere between 51 and 87 months imprisonment. Is that right, that you
- 15 A. Yes, your Honor.
 - Q. Do you understand that the guidelines stipulation in the agreement is binding on you, binding on the government, but it's not binding on me?

stipulated to that as set forth in the agreement?

- 19 A. Yes, your Honor.
 - Q. Do you understand that regardless of what you and the government have agreed to I'm going to make my own determination of your guideline range?
- 23 A. Yes, your Honor.
 - Q. And do you understand that under certain circumstances both you and the government have the right to appeal any sentence

PLEA

that I might impose subject to the terms of the plea agreement?

A. Yes, sir.

Q. And I see that on pages 4 and 5 of the plea agreement there's what we often refer to as an appeal waiver provision.

Do you understand that under the plea agreement you are giving up your right to appeal or otherwise challenge your sentence so long as I sentence you to 87 months of imprisonment or less?

A. Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Let me just digress for one moment. I see in the plea agreement that there is this question left open about one possible guideline enhancement under 3A1.2 which is as I recall an official victim enhancement. I also recall that that applies to individuals who are victims. I'm not resolving anything today, but just for my own edification, in a very general sense what is the government's position as to why there's an enhancement under 3A1.2? I haven't studied it. That's just my recollection.

MS WOODS: The government's position is that Section 3A1.2(a) applies because the victim in this case, that is Sajeeb Wazeed Joy, is the son of the prime minister of Bangladesh so he's an immediate family member of a government official and was targeted because of that status and therefore in the government's view the enhancement applies.

THE COURT: You're saying that the Sentencing

Commission had in mind relatives of government officials in

Eahithap ag PLEA

1 | foreign countries?

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

19

20

21

22

24

25

MS WOODS: Yes, your Honor. That's the government's position.

THE COURT: And Mr. Ser -- again I'm not resolving this today, so we're clear on it, nothing wrong with that agreement to disagree, but it did kind of jump out at me, because I didn't quite see how it fit this case -- what's your position on this?

MR. SER: Exactly what your Honor questioned, whether the guidelines apply to a relative outside the United States.

THE COURT: A relative of a government official?

MR. SER: All of whom are outside the United States, correct.

THE COURT: We'll address that obviously at the appropriate time.

- Q. Mr. Thaler, have you clearly understood everything that's happened here so far today?
- 18 A. Yes, sir.
 - Q. Now that you have been advised of the charges against you, the possible penalties that you face, and the rights that you're giving up, is it still your wish to plead guilty to Counts 2 and 4 of the indictment?
- 23 A. Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Ms Woods, would you summarize for me what you expect the government to prove if this case went to trial.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

If this case were to go to trial the MS WOODS: government would prove beyond a reasonable doubt that from September 2011 through March of 2012 the defendant, Johannes Thaler, aided and abetted his co-defendant, former FBI Special Agent Robert Lustyik, in soliciting cash payments in return for disclosing internal confidential law enforcement information to which Lustyik had access by virtue of his position with the FBI.

The government's evidence, including testimony from witnesses, as well as e-mails and text message communication between Mr. Thaler and his co-defendant, Special Agent Lustyik, and Mr. Ahmed, would establish that Mr. Thaler on behalf of Mr. Lustyik sought a \$40,000 retainer fee and regular monthly payments of \$30,000 from his co-defendant, Mr. Ahmed, in exchange for Mr. Lustyik's official action in providing information to Mr. Ahmed who was a native of Bangladesh and was seeking information so that he could cause both reputational as well as physical harm to the son of the current prime minister of Bangladesh.

With respect to Count 4, if this case were to go to trial, the government would prove beyond a reasonable doubt that during that same time period, Mr. Thaler conspired with co-defendants, Special Agent Lustyik and Mr. Ahmed, to commit honest services wire fraud in violation of 18 U.S.C. Section The government's evidence would include witness 1343.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

- testimony as well as the e-mail exchanges and the text message communications between the co-defendants, which established that the defendants agreed together to deprive the American citizens and the FBI of the right to the honest services of Special Agent Robert Lustyik for the purpose of executing the aforementioned bribery scheme and that in carrying out that scheme the defendants, incruding Mr. Thaler, transmitted by means of interstate wires, various e-mails and text messages.
- THE COURT: Thank you, Ms Woods.
- Q. Mr. Thaler, did you hear what the prosecutor just said?
- 11 | A. Yes, sir.
- 12 | Q. Is it substantially accurate?
- 13 | A. Yes, sir.
- Q. What I need you to do now is tell me in your own words what you did that makes you believe that you're guilty of the crimes to which you have pleaded guilty. Are you going to be reading
- 17 | from a prepared statement?
- 18 | A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. Nothing wrong with that. I just want to make sure that
- 20 | this is a document or a statement that you've prepared in
- 21 conjunction with your attorney or the assistance of your
- 22 attorney.
- 23 A. Yes, I have.
- 24 Q. You can read that.
- 25 A. From in or about September 2011 through in and about March

PLEA

2012 in the Southern District of New York I aided and abetted Robert Lustyik, who during this time was an agent with the United States Federal Bureau of Investigation, in soliciting cash payments from individuals with the corrupt intention that Robert Lustyik be influenced to disclose confidential governmental information that Robert Lustyik has access to by virtue of his position with the FBI. At the time this occurred I knew I what I was doing was illegal.

From in and about September 2011 through in and about March 2012, in the Southern District of New York, I agreed with others to participate in a fraudulent scheme to deprive the citizens of the United States of Robert Lustyik's honest services as an agent of the FBI. I knew the objective of the conspiracy. I knowingly and voluntarily participated. During the conspiracy my conduct included sending and receiving e-mails, telephone calls and text messages relating to the bribery conduct. At the time this occurred, I knew what I was doing was illegal and acted with the specific intent to deprive the United States of Robert Lustyik's honest services.

MR. SER: Your Honor, we'll stip that the transmissions occurred via interstate wire.

THE COURT: Meaning the e-mails and text messages?

MR. SER: Correct, your Honor.

- Q. Do you agree with that, Mr. Thaler?
- A. Yes, your Honor.

- 1 Q. Where were you when you were engaged in these activities,
- 2 between September of 2011 and March of 2012? Where were you
- 3 | physically?
- 4 A. Connecticut, I guess.
- 5 | Q. Where was Mr. Lustyik?
- 6 A. In New York.
- 7 Q. Where was his office?
- 8 A. He worked in White Plains, New York.
- 9 Q. Here in the Southern District of New York?
- 10 | A. Yes, sir.
- 11 | Q. When he worked here in White Plains, he was working as an
- 12 | FBI agent, is that correct?
- 13 | A. Yes, sir.
- 14 | Q. You knew that?
- 15 | A. Yes, sir.
- 16 \parallel Q. Did you know at the time that you did these things that
- 17 | what you were doing was wrong and against the law?
- 18 | A. Yes, sir.
- 19 Q. Did anyone threaten you or coerce you or force you to do
- 20 | those things?
- 21 A. No, your Honor.
- 22 | THE COURT: Ms Woods, do you believe there's a
- 23 | sufficient factual predicate for a guilty plea to both Counts 2
- 24 | and 4?
- MS WOODS: Yes, your Honor.

Eahithap ag PLEA

THE COURT: Mr. Ser, do you agree with that?

2 MR. SER: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Are there any additional questions either of you would like me to ask the defendant?

MS WOODS: No, your Honor.

MR. SER: No, your Honor.

THE COURT: Mr. Ser, do you know of any valid defense that would prevail at trial or any reason why your client should not be permitted to plead guilty?

MR. SER: No, your Honor.

- Q. Mr. Thaler, how do you now plead to the charge in Count 2 of the indictment, guilty or not guilty?
- 13 A. Guilty.

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

- 14 Q. How do you now plead to the charge in Count 4 of the
- 15 | indictment, guilty or not guilty?
- 16 \parallel A. Guilty.
- 17 | Q. Are you in fact guilty of the charge in Count 2?
- 18 A. Yes, your Honor.
- 19 | Q. Are you in fact guilty of the charge in Count 4?
- 20 A. Yes, your Honor.
- 21 | Q. Are you pleading guilty voluntarily and of your own free
- 22 | will as to Count 2?
- 23 A. Yes, sir.
- 24 | Q. Are you plead guilty voluntarily and of your own free will
- 25 as to Count 4?

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Α. Yes, sir.

THE COURT: On the basis of the defendant's responses to my questions and my observations of his demeanor I'm satisfied that he understands his rights and he waives them knowingly and voluntarily with an understand of the consequences of his quilty plea including the potential sentences that may be imposed; further, that he has voluntarily pleaded guilty, that he has admitted he is guilty as charged in Counts 2 and 4 of the indictment, and that his plea is entered knowingly and voluntarily and supported by an independent factual basis as to each and every element of the crimes charged. Accordingly, I accept the defendant's guilty plea and adjudge him quilty on the charges in Counts 2 and 4 of the indictment.

I'll direct that a presentence investigation be conducted by the Probation Office and that a presentence report be prepared.

Mr. Thaler, you're going to be interviewed by a probation officer as part of the presentence investigation and when you do that you should definitely have your attorney with you. If you say anything to the probation officer make sure that what you say is truthful and accurate because I'll tell you now that the presentence report is important to me, including any descriptions of anything that you've said to the probation officer, in deciding what sentence to impose.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

of Utah.

PLEA

I say, make sure that you do speak to the probation officer, what you tell him or her is truthful and accurate. Will you to that? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: You and your attorney will have an opportunity to examine the report and challenge and comment on it before I sentence you. So for that reason it's important that you read the presentence report carefully and discuss it with your attorney before your sentencing date. If there are any mistakes in the report or anything you object to in the report you need to point them out to your attorney so he can bring those things to my attention before I impose sentence. Will you do that? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor. THE COURT: And at the time of sentencing both you and your attorney will also have the right to speak on your behalf before I impose sentence. Do you understand that? THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir. THE COURT: I'm going to schedule sentencing approximately 90 days from today. THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: Friday, January 23, 2015 at 11:30. THE COURT: Before I set that date, I understand that Mr. Thaler has pleaded quilty in another case in the District

When is the sentencing date in that case?

25

PLEA

MS WOODS: 1 I believe that's January 5, your Honor. 2 THE COURT: So this would not conflict with that, is that correct? 3 4 MS WOODS: No. 5 That's correct, your Honor, I agree. 6 THE COURT: Does that work for both counsel, January 7 23rd at 11:30 a.m.? 8 MS WOODS: Yes, your Honor. 9 MR. SER: Yes, your Honor. 10 THE COURT: The sentencing is scheduled for January 11 23, 2015 at 11:30 a.m. Any written submissions by the 12 defendant will be due no later than one week before sentencing 13 and any response by the government will be due no later than 14 three days before sentencing, particularly if there is going to 15 be a dispute about a quidelines provision. I know the defendant is out on bail. What's the government's position as 16 17 to whether that status should continue? 18 The government consents to continuing that MS WOODS: 19 status. 20 THE COURT: All right. Then I will continue the 21 defendant's release on bail. Mr. Thaler, you understand that 22 if you fail to return to my courtroom for sentencing on the 23 date and time set which is January 23, 2015 at 11:30 a.m. you 24 will be quilty of a separate crime which is called bail-jumping

for which you could be sentenced to imprisonment and a fine

PLEA

separate and apart from and in addition to whatever sentence you might receive for the crimes to which you just pleaded guilty.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, your Honor.

THE COURT: Do you further understand that all the conditions on which you were released up until now continue to apply and that the consequences could be very serious if you violate any of those conditions?

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, sir.

THE COURT: Bail will be continued. Let me ask just one other question. Is any consideration being given to a Rule 20 transfer of this case, either of this case to Utah or the Utah case to here, since the defendant is going to be sentenced on both cases around the same time? You're not required to do that. Has any discussion been had? There is an efficiency in doing that. Mr. Ser, have you given any thought to that?

MR. SER: I have not thought about it nor have we discussed it. Certainly the parties will talk about it very quickly.

THE COURT: Just so we're clear, I'm not making any suggestion that you, it requires the consent of both parties, in fact it requires the consent of the United States Attorneys and the judges in both districts so it's a somewhat cumbersome process. But in the end, given the unique circumstances of

PLEA

	this case, namely that the defendant, Mr. Thaler, and
	Mr. Lustyik, were charged both in the District of Utah and the
	Southern District of New York at approximately the same time
	and that Mr. Thaler is going to be sentenced in both districts
	or in both cases at approximately the same time, it just
	occurred to me that you might want to at least consider it.
	But I'll leave that up to you. It's just a matter of
	curiosity.
	MR. SER: I appreciate the suggestion, your Honor, the
	parties will talk.
	THE COURT: Anything else we need to do today with
	respect to Mr. Thaler?
	MS WOODS: No, your Honor.
	THE COURT: Mr. Ser?
	MR. SER: No, your Honor your Honor, thank you.
	THE COURT: Thank you all very much. I'll see you on
	January 23rd.
	Mr. Ser, are you aware that defense counsel are now
	under an obligation to at least schedule a presentence
	interview within the next two weeks? It doesn't have to occur
	within the next two weeks but you have to agree on a date
	within two weeks. And Ms Woods, the standing order requires
	the government to provide its proposed offense conduct portion
J	

of the presentence report to the probation officer within the

next two weeks, so I'll expect you all to comply with that.

```
Eahithap ag
                                  PLEA
               MS WOODS: Yes, your Honor.
1
 2
                THE COURTROOM DEPUTY: All rise.
 3
                This court will be in recess.
                (Proceedings adjourned)
 4
 5
 6
 7
 8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
```